

# **Towards the SDG Challenges**

## ONLINE

## 25-26 November 2021, Novi Sad, Serbia

## **BOOK OF ABSTRACTS**

IBCS2021 is organized jointly by:



FACULTY OF SCIENCE

University Prince of Songkla, Thailand



University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia

CIP - Каталогизација у публикацији Библиотеке Матице српске, Нови Сад

631(082)(048.3) 602(082)(048.3) 502/504(082)(048.3)

THE International Bioscience Conference (2021 ; Novi Sad) [Book of abstracts] / The International Bioscience Conference and the 8th International PSU - UNS Bioscience Conference IBSC 2021, 25-26 November, 2021 ; [editors Neda Mimica-Dukić, Slobodanka Pajević, Anamarija Mandić]. - Novi Sad : Prirodno-matematički fakultet, 2021. -261 str. : ilustr. ; 30 cm

Način pristupa (URL): https://ibsc2021.pmf.uns.ac.rs/ebook-of-abstracts/. - Registar.

ISBN 978-86-7031-541-9

1. Joint international PSU-UNS Bioscience Conference (6 ; 2021 ; Novi Sad) а) Пољопривреда -- Зборници -- Апстракти б) Биотехнологија -- Зборници -- Апстракти в) Животна средина -- Заштита -- Зборници -- Апстракти

COBISS.SR-ID 53483017

International Bioscience Conference (IBSC 2021) was supported by Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia

## Content

Commitee
Program IBSC 2021 25-26 November

### ABSTRACTS

Plenary Lectures	
Track 1	
Track 2	
Track 3	121
Track 4	203
AUTHORS INDEX	

## Committee

## **Organizing Committee**

- 1. Slobodanka Pajević, President, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 2. Anamarija Mandić, Vice president, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Serbia
- 3. Srđan Rončević, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 4. Gordana Vlahović, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 5. Ivana Pejović, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 6. Živana Komlenov Mudrinski, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 7. Saša Rakić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 8. Branislava Lalić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia
- 9. Vesna Mijatović Jovin, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Serbia
- 10. Biljana Božin, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Serbia
- 11. Nataša Simin, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 12. Milan Borišev, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 13. Dejan Orčić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 14. Emilija Svirčev, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 15. Danijela Arsenov, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 16. Milan Župunski, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 17. Aleksandra Tubić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 18. Vidak Raičević, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 19. Jovana Grahovac, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Serbia
- 20. Jaroslava Švarc-Gajić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Serbia
- 21. Neda Lakić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Serbia
- 22. Mladen Horvatović, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 23. Oskar Marko, University of Novi Sad, BioSense Institute, Serbia
- 24. Miloš Ilić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 25. Milana Rošul, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Serbia
- 26. Nataša Đerić, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Serbia
- 27. Nemanja Teslić, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Serbia
- 28. Dejan Ćaćić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia

## **Scientific Committee**

- 1. Neda Mimica Dukić, President, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 2. Anchana Prathep, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
- 3. Pimonsri Mittraparp-Arthorn, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
- 4. Sara Bumrungsri, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
- 5. Wipawadee Sianglum, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
- 6. Chongdee Buranachai, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
- 7. Pissared Muangnil, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
- 8. Jaruwan Mayakul, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
- 9. Komwit Surachat, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
- 10. Patamarerk Engsontia, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand

#### [5]

- 11. Viktor Nedović, Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia
- 12. Snežana Đorđević, University Colleague London (UCL), UK
- 13. Rudolf Bauer, University of Graz, Faculty of Pharmacy, Austria
- 14. Sari Kontunen-Soppela, University of Eastern Finland (UEF), Joensuu, Finland
- 15. Carmen Arena, University of Naples Federico II, Italy
- 16. Sílvia Rocha, University of Aveiro, Portugal
- 17. Declan Troy, The Agriculture and Food Development Authority (TEAGASC), Ireland
- 18. Brijesh Tiwary The Agriculture and Food Development Authority (TEAGASC), Ireland
- 19. Valerija Dunkić, University of Split, Croatia
- 20. Darko Modun, University of Split, Croatia
- 21. Olga Tzakou, University of Athens, Greece
- 22. Maria Couladis, University of Athens, Greece
- 23. Svetlana Kulevanova, University of Skopje, Macedonia
- 24. Rossella Russo, University of Calabria, Italy
- 25. Guido Jurgenliemk, University of Regensburg, Germany
- 26. Saša Orlović, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Lowland Forestry and Environment, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia
- 27. Dragana Miladinović, Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad, Serbia
- 28. Branko Ćupina, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia
- 29. Diana Bugarski, University of Belgrade, Institute for Medical Research, Serbia
- 30. Nada Kovačević, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Pharmacy, Serbia
- 31. Niko Radulović, University of Niš, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 32. Jasmina Agbaba, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 33. Jadranka Luković, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 34. Snežana Radenković, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 35. Marijana Ačanski, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Serbia
- 36. Jelena Pejin, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Serbia
- 37. Aleksandar Fišteš, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Serbia
- 38. Isidora Samojlik, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Serbia
- 39. Biljana Basarin, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 40. Ivana Beara, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 41. Nataša Nikolić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 42. Aleksandra Mišan, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Serbia
- 43. Jaroslava Švarc-Gajić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Serbia
- 44. Jovan Matović, University of Novi Sad, BioSense Institute, Serbia
- 45. Milica Pojić, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Serbiaa
- 46. Suzana Jovanović-Šanta, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 47. Mihajla Đan, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Science, Serbia
- 48. Silvana Andrić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 49. Jelica Simeunović, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia

### **TRACK 1 - Participants 1**

#### **METHOD / DESIGN:**

After selecting the most representative locations, body parts that are common to both sexes were measured in all examined populations: a total body length, thorax, abdomen, and cercopod lengths, ratio of total body to thorax length and ratio of total body to the abdomen length. The measurements were performed with the accuracy of 0.1 cm. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics.

#### **RESULTS:**

According to the linear body measurements common for both sexes, results of descriptive statistical analysis showed that two geographical groups of individuals can be distinguished: *Branchipus* populations from the northern habitats (plain land-scape of the country), and populations from localities in the southern (hilly part of the country). Morphological parameters that mostly affected differentiation of samples were: abdominal length, the contribution of thorax in total body length, and the contribution of abdomen in total body length.

#### **CONCLUSIONS:**

Large branchiopod crustaceans are the flagship animal group for some inland water ecosystems and temporary pools. Results of this study show that two geographical groups of individuals stood out from very different types of habitats, occurring in the northern lands and in the southern hilly and mountainous areas of the country. Present results indicate that linear morphological data obtained by applying the method of discriminate morphological analysis can be an auxiliary method in taxonomic determination of populations and assessment of the regional biodiversity.

## T1-P-5 Food choice in *Drosophila melanogaster*: the role of diet type, sex and social environment

Jelena Trajković<sup>32</sup>, Sofija Pavković-Lučić<sup>32</sup>, Dragana Miličić<sup>32</sup>, Tatjana Savić<sup>33</sup>

KEYWORDS: nutrition; food choice; fruit fly

#### INTRODUCTION:

Insect nutrition is a widely researched topic, since diet affects many biological processes, which can be monitored from cellular to behavioral level. As one of the most important environmental factors, food quality and balance in the amount of key nutrients, strongly affect fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* fitness. A number of genes involved in multiple sensory pathways and complex physiological systems are consequently included in the regulation of feeding behavior. The fruit fly food-related behavior is primarily influenced by nutritional needs, and may change throughout life. Also, food choice may be determined by sex and the social environment, i.e. by the presence of other individuals.

#### **OBJECTIVES:**

The aim of this study was to determine whether there were differences in food choice between *D. melanogaster* strains reared on two different substrates for more than 20 years. For that purpose, five food items were offered. Further, it was examined whether sex and social environment influenced food choice in these two strains.

<sup>32</sup> Universety of Belgrade – Faculty of Biology, Belgrade, Serbia, 2Institute for Biological Research. Corresponding author: jelena.trajkovic@bio.bg.ac.rs

<sup>33</sup> Siniša Stanković" - National Institute of the Republic of Serbia, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

### **TRACK 1 - Participants 1**

#### **METHOD / DESIGN:**

*D. melanogaster* strains used in this experiment were maintained for more than 450 generations on two different substrates, standard cornmeal substrate and substrate modified by adding apple. Transparent plastic boxes, dimensions: 220 × 140 × 90mm, which contained five Petri dishes (r = 30mm) were used for monitoring food choice. Petri dishes were filled with five different substrates: standard cornmeal substrate and substrate and substrates that contain tomato, banana, carrot and apple. Flies were starved for 18h before being placed into each box. Virgin females and males, 3 - 5 days old, were separated and tested individually and in groups of five individuals, and foraging flies were sampled every 3 min for 1h. Four-way ANOVA was applied in order to determine difference in time that flies spent on different diets, between individuals and groups, and between sexes.

#### **RESULTS:**

Results pointed out significant differences in the time that flies spent by occupying different food items. On the other hand, sex, strain and social environment revealed no significant influence on *D. melanogaster* food choice. However, significant interaction between strain and food choice was observed. In both strains, the preference toward standard cornmeal substrate was noticed. Even more, flies reared on apple substrate spent significantly more time on Petri dish filled with standard cornmeal diet, compared to flies reared on standard substrate.

#### **CONCLUSIONS:**

These results indicated that flies chose nutritionally richer food (standard cornmeal substrate, rich in sugar and yeast), especially if they were reared on poor diet (the apple substrate). According to data from our previous surveys, substrates that we offered to flies differ in protein content and in the proportion of protein relative to the total content of organic carbon (C/N ratio), which accurately reflected the protein/carbohydrate ratio. Contrary to standard substrate, apple substrate contained smaller amount of protein and higher C/N ratio. The fact that food choice was not influenced by sex or social environment might suggest the same nutritional requirements for the best available food in both sexes, regardless of whether flies were tested individually or in a group.

## T1-P-6 Proline-based deep eutectic solvents as greener alternative for obtaining ployphenol rich extracts of *Satureja Kitaibelii*

<u>Jelena Arsenijević</u><sup>34</sup>, Nada Kovačević<sup>34</sup>, Milica Drobac<sup>34</sup>, Slavica Ražić<sup>35</sup>, Fathi Emhemmed<sup>36</sup>, Christian Muller<sup>36</sup>, Christophe Marcic<sup>36</sup>, Eric Marchioni<sup>36</sup>

**KEYWORDS:** DES; cytotoxicity; extraction; caffeic acid oligomers; *Satureja L*.

#### **INTRODUCTION:**

Aerial parts of *Satureja kitaibelii* Wierzb. ex Heuff. (Lamiaceae), in Serbia known as Rtanj's tea, are traditionally used to treat various respiratory, urinary and other health disorders. Extracts of this herb exhibit a significant bioactivity as well<sup>37</sup>. Using deep eutectic solvents (DESs) for extraction of certain phenolic compounds is in line with the principles of green chemistry<sup>38</sup>.

<sup>34</sup> University of Belgrade – Faculty of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmacognosy, Belgrade, Serbia. Corresponding author: jelena.arsenijevic@pharmacy.bg.ac.rs

<sup>35</sup> University of Belgrade – Faculty of Pharmacy, Department of Analytical Chemistry, Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>36</sup> Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, UMR 7178 CNRS, Université de Strasbourg, Illkirch, France

<sup>37</sup> Gopčević et al., 2019. Plant Foods Hum Nutr 74:179.

<sup>38</sup> Jakovljević et al., 2020. Plants 9(2): 153.