



# IBSC

International Bioscience Conference and the  
8th International PSU – UNS Bioscience Conference

## Towards the SDG Challenges

ONLINE

25–26 November 2021, Novi Sad, Serbia

BOOK OF ABSTRACTS



IBCS2021 is organized jointly by:



**PSU** FACULTY OF SCIENCE

University Prince of Songkla,  
Thailand



University of Novi Sad,  
Faculty of Sciences, Serbia

CIP - Каталогизација у публикацији  
Библиотеке Матице српске, Нови Сад

631(082)(048.3)  
602(082)(048.3)  
502/504(082)(048.3)

THE International Bioscience Conference (2021 ; Novi Sad)  
[Book of abstracts] / The International Bioscience Conference and the 8th International PSU  
- UNS Bioscience Conference IBSC 2021, 25-26 November, 2021 ; [editors Neda Mimica-Dukić,  
Slobodanka Pajević, Anamarija Mandić]. - Novi Sad : Prirodno-matematički fakultet, 2021. -  
261 str. : ilustr. ; 30 cm

Наћин pristupa (URL): <https://ibsc2021.pmf.uns.ac.rs/ebook-of-abstracts/>. - Registar.

ISBN 978-86-7031-541-9

1. Joint international PSU-UNS Bioscience Conference (6 ; 2021 ; Novi Sad)  
а) Пољопривреда -- Зборници -- Апстракти б) Биотехнологија -- Зборници -- Апстракти в)  
Животна средина -- Заштита -- Зборници -- Апстракти

COBISS.SR-ID 53483017

**International Bioscience Conference (IBSC 2021) was supported by  
Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the  
Republic of Serbia**

# Content

Committee .....	5
Program IBSC 2021 25-26 November .....	7
ABSTRACTS	
Plenary Lectures .....	15
Track 1 .....	21
Track 2 .....	88
Track 3 .....	121
Track 4 .....	203
AUTHORS INDEX .....	252

---

## Committee

### Organizing Committee

1. Slobodanka Pajević, President, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
2. Anamarija Mandić, Vice president, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Serbia
3. Srđan Rončević, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
4. Gordana Vlahović, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
5. Ivana Pejović, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
6. Živana Komlenov Mudrinski, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
7. Saša Rakić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
8. Branislava Lalić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia
9. Vesna Mijatović Jovin, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Serbia
10. Biljana Božin, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Serbia
11. Nataša Simin, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
12. Milan Borišev, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
13. Dejan Orčić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
14. Emilija Svirčev, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
15. Danijela Arsenov, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
16. Milan Župunski, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
17. Aleksandra Tubić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
18. Vidak Raičević, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
19. Jovana Grahovac, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Serbia
20. Jaroslava Švarc-Gajić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Serbia
21. Neda Lakić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Serbia
22. Mladen Horvatović, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
23. Oskar Marko, University of Novi Sad, BioSense Institute, Serbia
24. Miloš Ilić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
25. Milana Rošul, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Serbia
26. Nataša Đerić, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Serbia
27. Nemanja Teslić, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Serbia
28. Dejan Čačić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia

### Scientific Committee

1. Neda Mimica Dukić, President, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
2. Anchana Prathep, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
3. Pimonsri Mittraparp-Arthorn, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
4. Sara Bumrungsri, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
5. Wipawadee Sianglum, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
6. Chongdee Buranachai, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
7. Pissared Muangnil, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
8. Jaruwan Mayakul, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
9. Komwit Surachat, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
10. Patamarerk Engsontia, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand

- 
11. Viktor Nedović, Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia
  12. Snežana Đorđević, University Colleague London (UCL), UK
  13. Rudolf Bauer, University of Graz, Faculty of Pharmacy, Austria
  14. Sari Kontunen-Soppela, University of Eastern Finland (UEF), Joensuu, Finland
  15. Carmen Arena, University of Naples Federico II, Italy
  16. Sílvia Rocha, University of Aveiro, Portugal
  17. Declan Troy, The Agriculture and Food Development Authority (TEAGASC), Ireland
  18. Brijesh Tiwary The Agriculture and Food Development Authority (TEAGASC), Ireland
  19. Valerija Dunkić, University of Split, Croatia
  20. Darko Modun, University of Split, Croatia
  21. Olga Tzakou, University of Athens, Greece
  22. Maria Couladis, University of Athens, Greece
  23. Svetlana Kulevanova, University of Skopje, Macedonia
  24. Rossella Russo, University of Calabria, Italy
  25. Guido Jurgenliemk, University of Regensburg, Germany
  26. Saša Orlović, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Lowland Forestry and Environment, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia
  27. Dragana Miladinović, Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad, Serbia
  28. Branko Ćupina, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia
  29. Diana Bugarski, University of Belgrade, Institute for Medical Research, Serbia
  30. Nada Kovačević, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Pharmacy, Serbia
  31. Niko Radulović, University of Niš, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
  32. Jasmina Agbaba, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
  33. Jadranka Luković, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
  34. Snežana Radenković, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
  35. Marijana Ačanski, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Serbia
  36. Jelena Pejin, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Serbia
  37. Aleksandar Fišteš, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Serbia
  38. Isidora Samojlik, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Serbia
  39. Biljana Basarin, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
  40. Ivana Beara, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
  41. Nataša Nikolić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
  42. Aleksandra Mišan, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Serbia
  43. Jaroslava Švarc-Gajić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Serbia
  44. Jovan Matović, University of Novi Sad, BioSense Institute, Serbia
  45. Milica Pojić, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Serbia
  46. Suzana Jovanović-Šanta, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
  47. Mihajla Đan, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Science, Serbia
  48. Silvana Andrić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
  49. Jelica Simeunović, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia

aligned 3' and 5' COI gene sequences were concatenated and combined into a single sequence matrix with the final length of 1260 bp. The COI matrix was used as input for the maximum parsimony and the maximum likelihood tree construction. The COI haplotypes were compared with the sequence database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST).

### RESULTS:

Specimens from Georgia are resolved as monophyletic on both maximum parsimony and maximum likelihood trees with high bootstrap support values (99). The BLAST search of the NCBI nucleotide database confirmed the belonging of these specimens to the *M. aureus* species group, while the tree topologies indicate that they were genetically most similar to the *M. aff. bessarabicus* from Turkey. Furthermore, this clade comprises the specimen in the juvenile stage from Georgia, that has not been successfully identified to a species level in the previous studies.

### CONCLUSIONS:

In this study, we discovered one new candidate species within the *M. aureus* group and defined the species status of the juvenile specimen from Georgia. The new species morphologically belong to the *M. cinereus* subgroup. However, our study showed a high genetic similarity between this species and morphologically different *M. aff. bessarabicus* from Turkey which belongs to the *M. bessarabicus* subgroup. Such high discordance between molecular and morphological divergence has already been noticed within the *M. aureus* group, and in this particular case, it is probably a result of the geographical proximity, as well as the introgression during the evolutionary past of the two species. In order to fully address causes of observed discordance additional morphological and molecular analyses will be needed.

## T1-P-4 Diversity of *branchipus* populations (branchipoda, crustacea) on the territory of Serbia - could the body size be an indicator of geographical and environmental distinctness?

Dragana Miličić<sup>30</sup>, Sofija Pavković-Lučić<sup>30</sup>, Jelena Trajković<sup>30</sup>, Tatjana Savić<sup>31</sup>

**KEYWORDS:** Branchiopoda; morphology; diversity

### INTRODUCTION:

Large branchiopod crustaceans (Class Branchiopoda) usually inhabit small and ephemeral inland water bodies. Some species have been included into national red lists, and some are strictly protected in many European countries. Both the abundance of their specimens in natural populations and their body size can be used as bioindicators of geographical and environmental differences. For the reasons stated above, this group can be used for defining the ephemeral wetland habitats and their possible functions and values.

### OBJECTIVES:

The objective of this study was to determine whether the morphological analysis can be used to differentiate *Branchipus* populations from several areas within a certain territory. We used populations from the northern, Pannonian parts (Srem, Banat, and Bačka Districts), and from the southern, mountainous region of Serbia, whose habitats are particularly different in their origin, and physical/chemical features.

<sup>30</sup> University of Belgrade – Faculty of Biology, Belgrade, Serbia, <sup>2</sup>Institute for Biological Research. Corresponding author: jelena.trajkovic@bio.bg.ac.rs

<sup>31</sup> "Siniša Stanković" - National Institute of the Republic of Serbia, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

### METHOD / DESIGN:

After selecting the most representative locations, body parts that are common to both sexes were measured in all examined populations: a total body length, thorax, abdomen, and cercopod lengths, ratio of total body to thorax length and ratio of total body to the abdomen length. The measurements were performed with the accuracy of 0.1 cm. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics.

### RESULTS:

According to the linear body measurements common for both sexes, results of descriptive statistical analysis showed that two geographical groups of individuals can be distinguished: *Branchipus* populations from the northern habitats (plain landscape of the country), and populations from localities in the southern (hilly part of the country). Morphological parameters that mostly affected differentiation of samples were: abdominal length, the contribution of thorax in total body length, and the contribution of abdomen in total body length.

### CONCLUSIONS:

Large branchiopod crustaceans are the flagship animal group for some inland water ecosystems and temporary pools. Results of this study show that two geographical groups of individuals stood out from very different types of habitats, occurring in the northern lands and in the southern hilly and mountainous areas of the country. Present results indicate that linear morphological data obtained by applying the method of discriminate morphological analysis can be an auxiliary method in taxonomic determination of populations and assessment of the regional biodiversity.

## T1-P-5 Food choice in *Drosophila melanogaster*: the role of diet type, sex and social environment

Jelena Trajković<sup>32</sup>, Sofija Pavković-Lučić<sup>32</sup>, Dragana Miličić<sup>32</sup>, Tatjana Savić<sup>33</sup>

**KEYWORDS:** nutrition; food choice; fruit fly

### INTRODUCTION:

Insect nutrition is a widely researched topic, since diet affects many biological processes, which can be monitored from cellular to behavioral level. As one of the most important environmental factors, food quality and balance in the amount of key nutrients, strongly affect fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* fitness. A number of genes involved in multiple sensory pathways and complex physiological systems are consequently included in the regulation of feeding behavior. The fruit fly food-related behavior is primarily influenced by nutritional needs, and may change throughout life. Also, food choice may be determined by sex and the social environment, i.e. by the presence of other individuals.

### OBJECTIVES:

The aim of this study was to determine whether there were differences in food choice between *D. melanogaster* strains reared on two different substrates for more than 20 years. For that purpose, five food items were offered. Further, it was examined whether sex and social environment influenced food choice in these two strains.

---

32 University of Belgrade – Faculty of Biology, Belgrade, Serbia, 2Institute for Biological Research. Corresponding author: jelena.trajkovic@bio.bg.ac.rs

33 Siniša Stanković – National Institute of the Republic of Serbia, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia